Gravel size matters: Early Middle Palaeolithic artefacts made from local Rhine and Meuse deposits in the central Netherlands
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Abstract

The artefact size of the Early Middle Palaeolithic (EMP) assemblages in ice-pushed Rhine–Meuse deposits in the central Netherlands decreases northwestward. This trend correlates to the downstream fining direction of the Rhine–Meuse fluvial system, the source of the rock material, showing that locally available material was used. Furthermore, also in line with the fluvial trend, the gravel and cobble trends indicate that EMP artefacts could be present in the buried part of the ice-pushed ridges in the northern part of the central Netherlands (southwest Flevoland). Based on the gravel and cobble data, combined with the literature study, we argue that the artefacts date to marine isotope stages (MIS) 7–6. The review of published data shows that during the time of deposition of the artefact-bearing layer (early MIS 6), the Rhine–Meuse study area was part of a braidplain located in a slightly incised valley. To the north a polar desert was present.
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Introduction

While studies on Early Middle Palaeolithic (EMP) archaeological assemblages in northwest Europe are focused on primary context archaeological sites (Hosfield & Chambers, 2004), many of these assemblages occur in secondary context (see e.g. Hosfield & Chambers, 2004; White et al., 2006; Scott et al., 2011). These secondary context archaeological resources contain a wealth of information on hominin habitation history and are therefore critical for a better understanding of the EMP in northwestern Europe. One of these EMP secondary context assemblages occurs in the gravelly fluvial deposits in part of the ice-pushed ridges in the central Netherlands (Fig. 1) (e.g. Stapert, 1981, 1987, 1991; Van Balen, 2006; Van Balen et al., 2007). These fluvial sediments were deposited by a combined Rhine–Meuse fluvial system. The artefacts were made from gravel and cobbles that were transported by this fluvial system towards the central Netherlands (Stapert, 1981, 1987, 1991). Usage of locally available gravel was also inferred for other EMP archaeological sites in northwestern Europe (e.g. Roebroeks, 1988 for Maastricht-Belvédère; Tuffreau & Sommé, 1988 for Biache-Saint-Vaast).

The EMP artefacts in fluvial deposits in the western part of the ice-pushed ridges in the central Netherlands have been the topic of several studies that deal with lithological characteristics (Ruegg, 1981, 1991) or technological analyses of the EMP assemblages (e.g. Stapert, 1981, 1987, 1991; Niekus, 1995;
In this study we investigate the relationship between the artefacts and the gravel and cobble distribution. One aim is to assess if there is a downstream decrease in artefact size, and, if so, to determine whether it can be explained by downstream fining of the fluvial deposits, being the source of the rocks. Another objective is to use the fluvial trends to infer whether artefacts could be present at other locations. In addition, we will discuss the palaeoenvironment of the hominins and the age range of the artefacts. We used a borehole database to determine the gravel and cobble distribution in the gravelly fluvial deposits of the ice-pushed ridges in the central Netherlands. For the borehole selection strategy of the buried part of these ice-pushed ridges we reconstructed the top of these ridges in the subsurface of the northwestern central Netherlands (southwest Flevoland; see Fig. 1).

Geographical and cultural setting

The (pre-)Saalian Rhine–Meuse deposits in the central Netherlands, which are part of the Sterksel- and Urk Formations (cf. Westerhoff et al., 2003), are dominated by gravelly medium to coarse sand (150–2000 µm) (Bosch et al., 2003; Westerhoff, 2003). The Urk Formation is distinguished from the older Sterksel Formation by its higher augite content (Zonneveld, 1956, 1958), related to the Eifel volcanism (−450 ka; Van den Bogaard & Schmincke, 1990; Boenigk & Frechen, 2006). In the central Netherlands the youngest part of the Urk Formation just pre-dates the maximum ice-sheet expansion (−150 ka; Busschers et al., 2008). It consists of mixed Rhine–Meuse deposits (unit 3 of Busschers et al., 2008). The base of these deposits contains gravel lag deposits with cobbles (Zandstra, 1981; unit 5 of Ruegg, 1981). In these gravel lags EMP artefacts are present (e.g. Stapert, 1987, 1991; Van Balen, 2006; Van Balen et al., 2007). During the maximum ice-sheet extent of the Saalian glaciation, towards the end of marine isotope stage (MIS) 6 (Van den Berg & Beets, 1987; Busschers et al., 2008), the Rhine–Meuse deposits, including the artefacts, were deformed into ice-pushed ridges. Subsequently they were eroded and partly covered by younger sediment, such as in the Almere region, southwest Flevoland (Fig. 1).

Find spots of artefact-bearing deposits

Early Middle Palaeolithic artefacts were found at various exposures in the central Netherlands (Fig. 1). Near Veenendaal (quarry Kwintelooijen), EMP flint artefacts have been found in a brown coarse sandy layer with gravels and cobbles (Stapert, 1987; unit 5 of Ruegg, 1981). The lithology of the artefact-bearing deposit at Soesterberg (quarry Tamme) is similar to that found near Veenendaal (Stapert, 1987). While EMP artefacts have been found at Maarn (quarry Zanderij) (Stapert, 1987), their find context is unknown. Nevertheless, at quarry Zanderij layers of gravel are present that are assigned to the youngest part of the Urk Formation (Ruegg & Burger, 1999). Most likely, these gravels were deposited by a braided fluvial system (Ruegg & Burger, 1999). The presumable deposition of these gravels by a braided river, combined with the presence of deposits that were assigned to the youngest part of the Urk Formation, indicates that it is very likely that the gravel layers at quarry Zanderij are similar to the gravel lags found at Soesterberg and near Veenendaal. At several other find spots of EMP artefacts in the
central Netherlands the stratigraphic context of the artefacts is similar to that of the examples above: a coarse-grained unit dominated by gravel (e.g. exposures A28 motorway: Stapert, 1987; Van Balen, 2006; Busschers et al., 2008; quarry Fransche Kamp: Ruegg, 1991). In agreement with Stapert (1987), we assume that throughout the find area these artefacts occur in one stratigraphic position, namely in the gravel lags at the base of a gravel-rich Rhine–Meuse deposit in the youngest part of the Urk Formation.

The artefact-bearing deposit also contains a combination of warm/temperate and cold climatic faunal remains. The combination of bones of different climatic periods is explained by reworking of older deposits (Stapert, 1987). Examples of cold climatic indicators that were found are *Mammuthus primigenius*, *Coelodonta antiquitatis* and *Ovibos moschatus* (open steppe biotope), and those of warm climatic species are *Elephas namadicus*, *Dicerorhinus kirchbergensis* and *Sus scrofa* (wood/steppe landscape) (Van Kolfschoten, 1981, 1991). This mixture of warm and cold climate faunal remains makes it difficult to correlate EMP artefacts to palaeoclimatic conditions.

### Early Middle Palaeolithic assemblages of the central Netherlands

While the Levallois method was often applied for artefact manufacture, some cores were hardly exploited (Stapert, 1987). The expedient style of core modification indicates that there was no scarcity of good-quality flint material (Stapert, 1987). Characteristic features of the EMP artefact assemblages are the high proportion of blades (Stapert, 1981), a dominance of scrapers among the tools (Stapert, 1987; Van Zon, 2008) and the limited number of finished handaxes (Stapert, 1987).

### Methods

#### Re-evaluation technological analyses of flint assemblages

For this paper Early Middle Palaeolithic flint artefacts from the quarries Kwintelooijen (near Veenendaal, *N* = 107), Tamme (Soesterberg, *N* = 64) and Zanderij (Maarn, *N* = 9), that were analysed by Verpoorte (2009) and Alink (2013), are re-evaluated. Most of these artefacts were obtained by searching sieved sediment from the quarries (see Stapert, 1987 for Kwintelooijen; Alink, 2013 for Tamme and Zanderij). An unknown part of the artefacts from Kwintelooijen was collected from the quarry surface (pers. comm. M.J.L. Franssen in De Moor, 2008). The artefacts from these quarries were obtained by various collectors over several decades (Kwintelooijen: M.J.L. Franssen between 1976 and 2001; Verpoorte, 2009; Zanderij: J. Offerman, E. Du Maine and A. Boelsma during the period 1970–1999; Tamme: J. Offerman and A. Boelsma between 1970 and 1985; Alink, 2013). These collections are currently owned by the National Museum of Antiquities in Leiden (The Netherlands) (Verpoorte, 2009).

For this re-evaluation, only complete flakes were selected from the artefacts analysed by Verpoorte (2009) and Alink (2013). For this study it is critical to focus on complete flakes because in contrast to cores and tools (e.g. handaxes), these flakes are not affected by use and therefore they are the most suitable artefact type to indicate a trend in metrical dimensions. In addition, flakes are the most common artefact type in the assemblages (see Verpoorte, 2009; Alink, 2013), thereby providing a more robust statistical significance to indicate such a trend. These authors analysed flake dimension using the standard guidelines of De Loecker and Schlanger (2006). The largest flake size was used in this research, because this metrical dimension provides the best proxy to indicate the minimum size of the gravel particle from which the flake was produced. Abrasion was determined macroscopically by Verpoorte (2009) and Alink (2013). The classification into none/light (sharp edges), medium (intermediate between sharp and heavily rounded edges) and heavy abrasion (overall heavily rounded edges) is relative, based on the studied material within a collection. Although there are other ways to categorise abrasion (e.g. Wymer, 1968; Shackley, 1975), the three-category subjective descriptor system based on edge damage is chosen in this study as edge damage can develop very rapidly via rolling or saltation (Hosfield & Chambers, 2004), providing insight in the percentage of artefacts that were transported short distances (less than 100 m; Hosfield & Chambers, 2004). This short fluvial transport distance is important for the discussion on the date of some of the EMP artefacts. In this research the largest sizes (median and range) of the selected flakes from the three quarries were compared. For the abrasion analysis, only selected flakes from Veenendaal and Soesterberg were taken into account, as the data on abrasion for Maarn include all flakes and not specifically complete flakes (see Alink, 2013).

#### Mapping

A total of 164 selected digital core logs were used to construct a 50 m horizontal resolution elevation map of the top of the buried ice-pushed ridge in the subsurface of Almere (Fig. 2A). This map is important for the borehole location strategy used in this research as it shows the location of the top of this ridge, i.e. the area least likely to contain re-worked Rhine–Meuse deposits. The selected core logs were obtained from the DINO database of TNO Geological Survey of the Netherlands (TNO, 2014). In all, 163 of these selected core logs contain Rhine–Meuse deposits. An additional core log (BZ5H0118) was selected following reinterpretation of the stratigraphy (see Supplementary Fig. S1 (available online at [https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2016.45](https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2016.45)))
Fig. 2. Digital elevation model of the central Netherlands. The maps have a ‘Rijksdriehoekstelsel’ coordinate system (surface elevation map obtained from www.ahn.nl). (A) Location of selected corings that were used for the construction of the elevation map of the top of the ice-pushed ridge in the subsurface of Almere (TNO, 2014). (B) Elevation of the top of the ice-pushed ridge in the subsurface of Almere in metres Dutch Ordnance Datum (O.D.) and overview of the core logs in the central Netherlands that were used in this research (see Supplementary Fig. S1 for detailed information on the corings).

At each core log, the elevation of the top of the Rhine–Meuse deposits is taken as the elevation of the top of the ice-pushed ridge. For four selected core logs this elevation was reinterpreted on the basis of the results of this study (core logs B25H0251, B25H0230, B25H0147 and B25H0120; Supplementary Fig. S1). The values of the top of the Rhine–Meuse deposits were interpolated to a grid of 50 m × 50 m using the kriging interpolation technique (Oliver & Webster, 1990; Goovaerts, 1997; Burrough & McDonnell, 1998). The newly created map provides a more detailed topography of the ice-pushed ridge in the subsurface of Almere than has been published before (e.g. Jelgersma & Breeuwer, 1975; Van den Berg & Beets, 1987; Busschers et al., 2008; Koopman & Pfeifer, 2012).

Lithological analysis

After construction of the elevation map of the top of the ice-pushed ridge in Almere, 23 core logs were selected in the central Netherlands along the Almere–Rhenen transect that contain deposits assigned to the Urk Formation, the Sterkse Formation or to the Urk–Sterkse Formation if they cannot be classified to either the Urk or Sterkse Formation (cf. Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. S1). The borehole location strategy was specifically aimed at avoiding areas that could contain re-worked deposits. Therefore, the selected corers are located on top of the ice-pushed ridges. The core logs were obtained from the DINO-core-database (TNO, 2014). Three of these selected core logs are located at the Early Middle Palaeolithic find localities of Soesterberg, Maarn and Veenendaal (cf. Figs 1 and 2B).

For 14 core logs the stratigraphic interpretation by TNO (2014) and Zandstra (1981) was slightly changed on the basis of the location of the corings (on top of the ridges), combined with lithostratigraphic indications and/or heavy mineral analysis results. Data on heavy mineral contents were obtained from Zandstra (1981) and the TNO Geological Survey database (TNO, 2015). Some of the core intervals were reassigned to the Urk, Urk–Sterkse or Sterkse Formation.
For some core logs the distinction between Urk and Sterksel Formation could not be made (e.g. core logs B26C0140, B25H0118, B26C0109, B32A0492, B32A0391, B32A0337, B32C0494 and B32C0559) (Supplementary Fig. S1). However, previous research indicates that there are no coarser deposits in the region than those assigned to these formations (Ruegg, 1981, 1991; Busschers et al., 2008). Therefore, for each core interval that was assigned to the Urk, Urk–Sterksel or Sterksel Formation the coarsest gravel size and the presence and volume percentage of cobbles were determined. Data on the coarsest gravel size and the availability and volume percentage of cobbles of all selected core logs are used to determine the downstream fining trend. The different gravel sizes and the volume percentages of cobbles were categorised using TNO Geological Survey standard guidelines (Bosch, 2000). For this research these categories were numbered: (0) no gravel, (1) fine gravel (2–5.6 mm), (2) medium coarse gravel (5.6–16 mm) and (3) very coarse gravel (16–63 mm). To calculate per coring the percentage of each gravel category, the thickness of each core interval assigned to the Urk, Urk–Sterksel or Sterksel Formation is taken into account. Thicker intervals contribute more to the overall volume percentage of a gravel category than thinner intervals.

Results and interpretation

Comparison of the largest size and amount of abrasion of complete flakes from the selected flint assemblages

An overview of the largest size of the complete flakes from Veenendaal, Maarn and Soesterberg is shown in Figure 3. The amount of abrasion of these flakes from Veenendaal and Soesterberg is indicated in Figure 4. The median of the largest flake size is highest for the assemblage obtained from Veenendaal (5.6 cm), lower for the archaeological material from Maarn (3.9 cm) and lowest for the artefacts from Soesterberg (3.4 cm). The ranges in largest flake size are 3.3–10.8 cm for the artefacts from Veenendaal, 3.0–6.2 cm for those from Maarn and 1.9–6.2 cm for those from Soesterberg. Although for the Maarn assemblage the upper range of the largest flake size is similar to that of the artefacts from Soesterberg, this is not an integral part of the normal distribution of these assemblages, but related to the outliers that determine the extremes in the distributions. The majority of the flakes from Veenendaal have no or light abrasion (~71%), while for those from Soesterberg this is only ~36%. The most flakes with heavy abrasion were found at Soesterberg (25%) and the fewest at Veenendaal (9%). These results indicate that the more heavily abraded flakes are present in the downstream direction and that there is a downstream decrease in flake size.

Lithological analysis

The results of the gravel size and cobbles analysis of the 23 selected core logs from the Almere–Rhenen transect are shown in Figures 5 and 6. The gravel size distribution along this transect indicates a general gravel size fining in the NW direction. In the area around Rhenen, the gravel size is dominated by very coarse gravel. From Veenendaal up to Hilversum there is primarily medium coarse gravel. From Hilversum to Almere there is predominantly fine gravel or no gravel. The cobbles analysis shows a similar fining trend. The majority of the cobbles (both in vol % and number of layers) are present in the southeastern part of the transect and this gradually decreases towards Hilversum. Although coring B32A0492 is an outlier when it comes to the number of layers that contain cobbles (three layers), the volume percentage of cobbles in those layers is very low (<1%). In the subsurface of Almere, cobbles are scarce.
Discussion

Artefact size and downstream fining

Our analyses show that the northwestward decreasing trend in flake size corresponds with the downstream fining direction of the Rhine–Meuse system. The downstream decreasing size and abundance of locally available gravel and cobbles may explain the trend in artefact size. Several alternative explanations will be discussed below.

There were no large differences in the modification technique applied on the stone artefacts investigated in this research (see Stapert, 1981, 1987; Van Zon, 2008; Verpoorte, 2009; Alink, 2013). Also, the flint artefacts were all produced from the same flint type (Stapert, 1987, 1991). Differences in modification method or flint types can therefore not explain the decreasing flake size northwestward.

The trend in artefact size decrease is unlikely to be caused by differences in sample size, because the find locality with...
Based on stratigraphic observations and a tentative correlation to a warm climatic period, an age of 300–250 ka has been suggested for the artefacts by Stapert (1987) and Niekus & Stapert (2005). Van Balen & Busschers (2010) favour an age of 190–170 ka. They dated the artefact-bearing deposit by optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) at ~170 ka (Van Balen et al., 2007; Busschers et al., 2008). They argue that at least the hardly abraded part of the artefacts cannot be much older than the artefact-bearing deposit. The age implies cold climatic conditions during deposition of the artefact-bearing sediment, which is in agreement with the presence of periglacial phenomena in this deposit (i.e. syn-genetic ice-wedge casts, ice-rafter boulders and sedimentary structures indicative for a braided river) (Ruegg, 1991, 2008). In addition, the expedient flaking technique that was applied indicates that there was plenty of coarse gravel and cobbles available for artefact manufacture. An abundance of coarse gravel and cobbles in the river bed is more characteristic of a cold than a warm climatic period due to the sediment availability and energetic fluvial conditions (Van Balen & Busschers, 2010).

Although the hardly abraded artefacts most likely have a similar age to the deposits in which they are encased (Van Balen & Busschers, 2010), the abraded artefacts could be older as the sediments in which they were deposited may have been eroded and redeposited together with the MIS 6 deposits. This is indicated by the mix of mammal remains of both cold and warm climatic periods in the artefact layer (Stapert, 1987). In the former sand pit Fransche Kamp (Wageningen) (Fig. 1) a gravelly deposit was present beneath an MIS 7 clay interval underlying the artefact-bearing layer (Stapert, 1987). This gravel deposit contained no artefacts (Stapert, 1987), suggesting that the artefacts are younger than this deposit.

At the time of deposition of the MIS 7 clay interval, coarse gravels and cobbles were most likely scarce in the central Netherlands (Van Balen & Busschers, 2010), suggesting that local artefact manufacture was probably limited. However, artefacts could have been discarded in the region during MIS 7 as tools may have been transported by hominins to the central Netherlands from areas where coarse sediments were present (e.g. southern Limburg, southeast Netherlands; Van Balen, 2006; Van Balen et al., 2007). After these tools were discarded in the central Netherlands, fluvial erosion and aggradation of the floodplain and/or channel margin that occurs during an interglacial–glacial transition (Vandenbergh, 1995; Bridgland, 2000; Maddy et al., 2001; Gibbard & Lewin, 2002) may have caused these artefacts to be encased in the MIS 6 sediments in which they are currently present. The abraded artefacts could therefore be as old as the MIS 7 clay layer. In the former sand pit Fransche Kamp (Wageningen) (Fig. 1), this layer contains faunal, pollen and mollusc remains indicative for interglacial conditions (De Jong, 1991; Meijer, 1991; Van Kolfschoten, 1991). In this layer a more recent version of Arvicola terrestris is present than in sediments from Maastricht–Belvédère (Van Kolfschoten et al., 1993). At Maastricht–Belvédère, flint from the same layer as these faunal remains has been thermoluminescence-dated at ~250 ka (Huxtable, 1993). Therefore, the clay layer at Fransche Kamp can be correlated to a warm, interglacial-like climatic period that is younger than 250 ka. The first warm period after 250 ka is dated between ~220 and 205 ka (MIS 7; Dutton et al., 2009), providing the maximum age for the EMP artefacts in the central Netherlands.

In conclusion, the artefacts in the central Netherlands most likely do not have a single age, but have an age range from 220 to 170 ka, corresponding to MIS 7 and early MIS 6. Sites dating to MIS 7 have been found throughout northwest Europe (see e.g. Callow, 1986; Roebroeks, 1988; Schäfer, 1990; Huxtable, 1993; Schreve, 1997; Adam, 2002; Bahain et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2011; Faivre et al., 2014; Tizzard et al., 2014). Although in the United Kingdom no sites are known dating to MIS 6 (see Scott et al., 2011), in Belgium and western Germany archaeological sites dating to this period are present (see e.g. Haesaerts, 1978; Conard, 1992; Pirson et al., 2009; Richter, 2011). Part of the artefacts show hardly any abrasion and are therefore likely to have been made and left behind in the riverbed. These artefacts probably have approximately the same age as the artefact-bearing layer (~170 ka; Busschers et al., 2008).
The southern North Sea area and its role in EMP hominin–environment interaction

Although sediments coarse enough for artefact manufacture are available in the subsurface of southwest Flevoland, the volume percentage of these lithics (very coarse gravel (16–63 mm) and cobbles (63–200 mm)) in the pre-glacial Rhine deposits is very small (<7%; Fig. 5). Due to the downstream fining of the combined Rhine–Meuse fluvial system, northwest of Flevoland the fluvial system most likely did not contain rocks coarse enough for artefact manufacture. As no other rock sources are known in the region that have material suitable for artefact manufacture by EMP hominins, the source for relatively coarse gravel and cobbles in southwest Flevoland is the most proximate to the eastern margin of the southern North Sea (i.e. western Netherlands and adjacent offshore area). Although EMP hominins could have visited the region northwest of Flevoland, they needed to return to the Flevoland area to refresh their lithic toolkit. This is of major importance to provide insight into EMP hominin landscape exploitation in the southern North Sea area as the mobility pattern is crucial in obtaining sufficient natural resources.

The role of the southern North Sea area in the exploitation pattern by EMP hominins in northwest Europe during MIS 7 and early MIS 6 is unknown. During the MIS 7 interglacial highstand, this role was most likely limited as a large part of the area was covered by water (Hijma et al., 2012). However, during lowstand situations the North Sea area was dry land (i.e. Rhine–Meuse delta; Cohen et al., 2014). The accessibility of the area, combined with the availability of freshwater sources such as the Rhine and Meuse fluvial systems (Hijma et al., 2012), indicates that the southern North Sea area possibly played an important role in the subsistence economy of EMP hominins in northwest Europe (Roebroeks, 2014). Furthermore, due to the ameliorating environmental effect of the Atlantic Ocean, causing a reduced effect of seasonality due to limited changes in humidity (Van Koenigswald, 1992), the most favourable region for habitation in northern Europe would be the North Sea area and its immediate surroundings, especially during the cool climatic conditions of early MIS 6. Several palaeo-environmental indicators exist to reconstruct the environmental setting of the central Netherlands and adjacent areas during early MIS 6. Sedimentological evidence shows that at that time the Rhine–Meuse fluvial system was a braided system (Ruegg, 1991, 2008; Busschers et al., 2008). The Rhine–Meuse fluvial system must have been located in a low-lying position in the landscape, an incised valley, because later on, around 150 ka, the river valley was invaded by a glacier tongue of the Saalian ice sheet (Van den Berg & Beets, 1987). To the north of the Rhine–Meuse system a mixed fluvio-aeolian environment existed (Van den Berg & Beets, 1987; OSL-dated to ~190–150 ka by Kars et al., 2012). Involutions, frost wedges and a desert pavement indicate cold, periglacial conditions, likely a polar desert (Zagwijn, 1973).

EMP artefacts found in the southern North Sea area dating to MIS 7 and early MIS 6 were encountered in deposits from the rivers Rhine–Meuse (central Netherlands; e.g. Stapert, 1981, 1987, 1991), Thames (southeast UK; e.g. Scott et al., 2010a,b) and the lower reaches of the palaeo-Yare (offshore of southeast England, Area 240; Tizzard et al., 2014). The downstream fining trend and preservation of these deposits needs to be determined in order to assess the potential for future geoarchaeological research on EMP hominin activities in the North Sea area. This research is of major importance to provide insight into EMP hominin–environment interaction during MIS 7 and early MIS 6 in the southern North Sea area, which most likely contained very few rocks suitable for artefact manufacture, but probably contained a plethora of natural resources (e.g. freshwater and faunal species).

Conclusion

- Artefact size of EMP assemblages in the central Netherlands decreases northwestward.
- This trend in artefact size decrease corresponds to downstream fining of gravel and the downstream decrease of cobbles abundance in Rhine–Meuse deposits in the central Netherlands.
- The gravel size and cobbles abundance in the buried part of the ice-pushed ridges in the central Netherlands (Almere, southwest Flevoland) indicate that EMP artefacts could potentially be present in that area.
- Based on a literature review, the EMP artefacts in the central Netherlands have an age range from 220 to 170 ka (MIS 7 and early MIS 6).
- The downstream fining of the EMP artefact-bearing deposits in the southern North Sea and adjacent areas can possibly provide further insight into EMP hominin–environment interaction in these areas.
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